From: PETERSON Jenn L

 To:
 Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

 Cc:
 Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

 Subject:
 RE: Revised TRV Methodology

 Date:
 06/09/2008 09:59 AM

Is there any leeway here? I am swamped with reviewing the data rules and other benthic / food web model issues. Any chance I could have until tomorrow morning?

-Jennifer

----Original Message---From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 05, 2008 5:49 PM
To: jeremy_buck@fws.gov; Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov; PETERSON Jenn L;
Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov; Robert.Neely@noaa.gov;
rgensemer@parametrix.com; sheila@ridolfi.com; jay.field@noaa.gov;
jpeers@stratusconsulting.com; Bob Dexter
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Revised TRV Methodology

Attached is the Revised TRV methodology. We have considered comments received from our various partners. However, in the end we have decided to recommend the 10% SSD for the population level and 5% for the organism level. We believe that this is technically defensible. Further, to ensure that the 5th percentile of an SSD are protective of ESA and other species to be evaluated at the organism level, a final check of the derived TRV will be performed through a comparison to salmonid specific studies. If it is determined that the derived TRV is higher than an adverse effect residue data point on an SSD for salmonids, the TRV will be reevaluated and revised downward as necessary to ensure protection of juvenile salmonids. Because no residue-effect studies are available for any lamprey species, this type of review will not be possible to ensure the protectiveness of the tissue TRVs for lamprey.

Because of schedule constraints, we need to get this to the LWG my the middle of next week. Consequently, we are requesting comments by COB Monday, June 9th.

Thanks, Eric

(See attached file: Aquatic Biota Tissue TRV Derivation 060508 FinalDraft.doc)